## City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register

Unique project identifier:	11401	Bank					
Total est cost (exc risk)	±0042930				Corporate Risk I	Matrix score tob	lo
PM's overall risk rating	Medium		ļ	Minor impact	Serious impact	Major impact	Extreme impac
Avg risk pre-mitigation	11.9	Likely		4	8	16	32
Avg risk post-mitigation	7.9	Possible	•	3	6	12	24
Red risks (open)	2	Unlikely		2	4	8	16
Amber risks (open)	4	Rare		1	2	4	8
Green risks (open)	2						
Costed risks identified (All)		£1.195.000.00	17%	Costed risk as %	of total actimat	od cost of projo	⊃f
Costed risk pre-mitigation (				" "	ง งา เงเลา ธระทิทิสต		
	· · <i>·</i>	£1,121,500.00	0%				
Costed risk post-mitigation	,	£636,498.00	0%	" "			
Costed Risk Provision requ	lested	£666,498.00	0%	CRP as % of tot	al estimated cos	t of project	
		Number of Open Risks	Avg Score	Costed impact	Red	Amber	Green
(1) Service Delive	ery/ Performance	2	7.0	£55,000.00	0	2	0
(1) Compliance/F	Regulatory	2	16.0	£157,000.00	2	0	0
(2) Financial		4	7.5	£120,500.00	1	1	2
(3) Reputation		1	8.0	£8,000.00	0	1	0
(4) Contractual/P		1	32.0	£700,000.00	1	0	0
(4) Legal/ Statuto		0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0
(5) H&S/Wellbeir	•	0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0
(6) Safeguarding		0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0
(7) Innovation		0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0
(8) Technology		0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0
(9) Environmenta	al	0	0.0	£0.00	0	0	0
(10) Physical		3	5.0	£81,000.00	0	2	1
				Extreme	Major	Serious	Minor
Issues (open)	1	Open	Issues	1	0	0	0
All Issues	1	All	Issues	1	0	0	0
Cost to resolve all (on comp		£423,502.00		Total CRP ι	ised to date	£42	3,502.00

## City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register

		rocedure Corporate				-	DAtio assessed						-	A					•		r	1
Project Name: . Unique project identifier:		All Change at Bank					PM's overall risk rating:	Medium			Average 11.9 unmitigated risk					Open Risks						
		: 11401	11401 T			Total	otal estimated cost (exec risk): £ 6,842,930		Total CRP used to £ 423,502 date			Average mitigated risk score			7.9				Closed Risks 5			
General risk c										Mitigation actions								Ownership	_			
ID	2y Category	Description of the Risk	Risk Impact Description		io Classificatio n pre-	Risk score	mitigation (£)	Costed Risk Provisior requested Y/N	estimation	Mitigating actions	Mitigation cost (£)	Classificat on post-	li Classificat			CRP used o date	Use of CRP	Date raised	Named Departmenta Risk Manager/ Coordinator	Risk owner I (Named Officer or External Party)	Date Closed OR/ Realised & moved to Issues	Commeni(s)
RI 5	(2) Financial	Inaccurate or Incomplete project estimates, including baxters/ inflationary issues leads to budget increases	If an estimate is found at a later date to be inaccurate or incomplete, more funding and/or time resource would be needed to rectify the issu- or fund/ underwrite the shortfall. More specifically, inflationary amounts predetermined earlier in a project may be found to be insufficient and require extra funding to cover any shortfall.	e Likely	Major	16	£7,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	* Undertake regular cost reviews via the highways team.	£0.0	00 Likely	Serious	£6,000.00	8	£0.00	staff time	9/14/2020	Leah Cobum	Ben Bishop		passing to gateway 5, revised risks for construction.
R2 4	(4) Contractual/Pai nership	t TfL buses engagement and their requirements on a project.	Further time and therefore resource may be required if planned engagement work with TfL didn't go as planned	Unlikely i.	Serious		£4,500.00		8 – Fairly Confident	* Ensure early engagement with TL buses in the design phases so they can consult internally * Design the measures to help minimise impacts on the bus network	£0.0	00 Unlikely	Minor			£0.00	Costs to cover TfL staff time and/or costs of their consultants		Leah Coburn	Neil West	11/22/2021	
R3 5	(4) Contractual/Par nership	t LUL engagement and their requirements on a project.		Unlikely	Minor	2	£3,000.00		A – Very Confident	* Ensure early engagement with LUL in the design phase to ascertain their requirements for working near their infrastructure.		00 Rare	Minor		1	£0.00	Costs to cover LUL staf time and/or costs of their consultants		Leah Coburn	Neil West	11/22/2021	
۲4 4	(4) Legal/ Statutory	Issue(s) with external engagement and buy-in	Further time and therefore resource may be required if planned engagement work with local external stakeholders didn't go as planned	Possible	Serious		£7,000.00		A – Very Confident	As restrictions ease make contact with busiensses that have not been engaging these last few months to ensure theyundersthad the proposals	£0.0	00 Rare	Minor			£0.00	Costs to cover staff time	9/14/2020	Leah Coburn	Gillian Howard	11/22/2021	TO this stage engagement has bee contained within the estiamted budget.

	1	T	1	1	1				1					_	1			1	T	_
R5 5	(2) Financial	Funding constraint/ conditions implications	Further resources may be required to identify additional funding or make alternative arrangements if constraints/ conditions change.	Unlikely	Serious	4	£3,500.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	* Track and locate other possible additional funding streams * In co-operation with City Highways staff, strive to make efficiency savings where possible during detailed design phase.	£0.00 Unlike	y Serious	5 £2,000.00 ·		£0.00 Costs to cover staff	9/14/2020	Leah Coburn	Gillian Howard		
R6 5	(2) Financial	Accessibility and/ or security concerns lead to project change	Further changes to the project's design if necessary may impact on accessibility, security concerns leading to further changes.		Serious	4	£20,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	* On-going dialogue with the accessibility/ security workstreams	£0.00 Rare	Minor	£15,000.00		£0.00 Costs to cover staff and/ or fees	9/14/2020	Leah Coburn	Neil West		nothing overand above anticpated le
R7 5	(1) Service Delivery/ Performance	Unforeseen technical and/ o engineering issues identified		Unlikely	Major	8	£35,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	* Work closely with the highways team to help identify any unforeseen technical or engineering issues at an early stage.	£0.00 Unlike	y Serious	s £22,000.00 ·	. 3	£0.00 Costs to cover staff and/ or fees	9/14/2020	Leah Coburn	Ben Bishop/ Neil West		
R9 5	(10) Physical	Trial holes/ utility investigations lead to further information being required and an increase and time.	Delays could oocur which result in unplanned costs if utility companies don't engage as expected or additioant utility surveys are required.	Possible	Serious	6	£8.000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	8 – Fairly Confident	Liaise closely with design engineers to work out an approach to cover utiliy delays or site discoveries. Trial holes to be undertsken once security measures have been developed further.	£0.00 Rare	Minor	£5,000.00		£0.00 staff time	9/14/2020	Leah Coburn	Ben/ Bishop/ Neil West		reworded to extend into construction given the risk around cost inflation and possible need to make alterations.
R10 5	(3) Reputation	Expectation of the look and feel of the scheme is higher than what can be achieved with the budget available.	It is possible that we lose support for the proposed changes whilst still having a need to make functional change to support the growth in pedestrian numbers.	Likely	Serious	8	£8,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	Liaise closely with design engineers to maximise public realm opportunites that can be included, subject to site and budget constraints.	£0.00 Possib	le Serious	s £7,000.00		£0.00 cost to cover staff time	∍ 9/14/2020	Leah Coburn	Ben/ Bishop/ Neil West		
RII 5	(1) Service Delivery/ Performance	Additional investigations or surveys may be required by internal/ external parties to further validate the design.	Delays could occur to the programme if validation of the design is delayed.	Unlikely	Serious	6	£20,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	Liaiase with internal/ external parties at an early stage to agree the scope of any additional investigations/ surveys.	£0.00 Rare	Minor	£11,000.00		Costs to cover staff £0.00 time and/ or consultants time/fee	9/14/2020	Leah Coburn	Neil West		reworded to extend into construction given the risk around cost inflation and possible need to make alterations.
R12 4	(1) Service Delivery/ Performance	We may need to cover more of the costs for TfL/ consultants fees for the Eastern Cluster project.	Delays could occur to the programme if funding isn't avaialble to cover costs associated with the Eastern Cluster project.	Possible	Serious	6	£40,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	Ongoing dialouge with Eastern Cluster Team to understand budget constraints.	£0.00 Rare	Minor	£30,000.00		Costs to cover TfL staff £0.00 time and/or costs of their consultants	9/14/2020	Leah Coburn	Gillian Howard/ Neil West	29/07/22	closed out by ECC team
R13 4	(1) Service Delivery/ Performance	Some of the temporary schemes implemented as part of the City Transportation's and TfL's response to COVID-19 may be made permanent and could impact on the proposals at Bank Junction.	Making some of the temporary measures permanent could impact on the viability of proceeding with the project.	Possible	Serious		£15,000.00		B – Fairly Confident	Ongoing monitoring and further sensitivity testing will be undertaken to help identify which temporary schemes could be made permanent.	£0.00 Rare	Minor			£0.00 Costs to cover staff time and/ or fees	9/14/2020	Leah Coburn	Gillian Howard/ Neil West	11/21/2022	
R14 5	(1) Compliance/Reg ulatory	legal challenge regarding the decsion to proceed with an agreed scheme	significant staff cost and legal fees in defending any legal challenge as well as no longer able to meet the project timeframe	o Likely	Major	16	£150,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	ensure a transparent considered scheme, linked to policy andthat all pocesses are followed accordingly	£0.00 Possib	le Major	£140,000.00 1	2	£0.00 Staff costs, counsel costs, fees	2/1/2021	Leah Coburn	GillianHoward		
R15 4	(1) Service Delivery/ Performance	Delay to the TfL statutory bus consultation, dealys the G5 submission	delay to programme - cannot guarentee progression of the scheme without the bus reroutings being approved by TfL.	Possible	Serious	6	£4,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	C – Uncomfortable	continue working with TfL to ensure they have all the information they need to progress the consutaltion in good time	£0.00 Unlike	y Serious	5		£0.00 Costs to cover staff time	5/24/202	Leah Coburn	Gillian Howard/ Neil West	11/15/2021	1 bus routings are agreed (but traffic o

## City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Issues Log

I	Project Name:	All Chang	je at Bank				1							
	Unique projec		11401											
		Gen	eral issue classifi	cation						Ownershi	p & Action			
Issue ID	Risk ID (where previously identified)	Category	Description of the Issue	Issue Impact Description	Impact Classification	Control actions	Date raised	Named Departmental Issue Manager/ Coordinator	Issue owner (Named Officer or External Party)	Dependencies	Status	Cost to resolve [£] on completion	Date Closed	Comment(s)
1.01	R16	(4) Contractual/P artnership	New Contract rate and inflationy cost of supplers have been identified and costed.	anticipated increased casts have been realised and funding is requested tobe drawn down from the risk Register to cover this cast increase to build the scheme	Extreme	Funding had been requested at GS to cover an anticipated 20% increase in coconstruction cost due to new contract rates, inflation and and labour increases. In preperation for costnuction starting, the cost starting, the cost contract rates, other supplier costs etc and this is now what we anticipate the build to cost if work progresses swifty, this sits within the figure identified and provides for further CRP if there should be further increases	29-Jul-21		Gillian Howard		in progress	£ 423,502.00		
		+												
		1							1					
-		1	1			1			1	1				1
												+		
	-		-						1					1